



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/824,039

04/14/2004

Dany Sylvain

7000-338

6036

27820 7590 11/04/2009
WITHROW & TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C.
100 REGENCY FOREST DRIVE
SUITE 160
CARY, NC 27518

EXAMINER

GAY, SONIA L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2614

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/04/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/824,039	Applicant(s) SYLVAIN, DANY	
	Examiner SONIA GAY	Art Unit 2614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 July 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Amendment filed on 07/22/2009. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 2, 4-8, and 12-17 recite the language "adapted to". MPEP 2106 (II C) states "the subject matter of a properly construed claim is defined by the terms that limit its scope. It is this subject matter that must be examined. As a general matter, the grammar and intended meaning of terms used in a claim will dictate whether the language limits the claim scope.

Language that *suggests* or *makes* optional but does not require steps to be performed or does not limit a claim to a particular structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim language. The following examples of language that may raise a question as to limiting effect of the language in a claim:

- A. statements of intended use of field or use,
- B. "adapted to" or "adapted for" clauses,
- C. "wherein" clauses,
- D. "whereby" clauses.

This list of examples is not intended to be exhaustive. See also MPEP 2111.04."

Since "adapted to" suggests or make optional the limitations following the claim language, these limitations may not be given weight in future office actions.

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed 07/22/2009 has been entered. Claims 1, 22, 24 - 27, and 42 have been amended. No claims have been canceled. No claims have been added. Claims 1 - 42 are still pending in this application, with claims 1 and 22 being independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1-3, 7- 8, 10, 19 -24, 28 – 29, 31, and 40 - 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phillips et al. (US 7,454,206) in view of Mukherjee et al. (US 2004/0081118).

For claims 1 and 22, Philips et al. discloses a personal communication device and method for supporting a plurality of communication clients in a personal communication service device(Abstract, column 1 lines 47 – column 2 line 2), comprising: a) at least one packet communication interface (*user interface* and *data storage comprising machine language instructions*, Fig.2, 14 and 56; column 6 lines 10 - column 7 line 11); b) a control system associated with the at least one packet communication interface and adapted to(*processor*, Fig.2, 54; column 6 line 10 - column 7 line 11); i) provide a plurality of packet communication clients which are associated with a unique ID, wherein the unique IDs facilitate packet communications with the plurality of packet clients (column 6 lines 64—column 7 line 2, 15 - column 8 line 2); ii) establishing packet communications with each of the plurality of packet communication clients via at least one packet communication interface, the packet communications for each of the plurality of packet communication clients associated with a corresponding one of the IDs (column 7 line 15 – column 8 line 2). Yet, Phillips et al. fails to teach that each of the unique IDs is uniquely associated with distinct service nodes.

However, Mukherjee et al. discloses a method for the purpose of providing services to a mobile device wherein a unique ID can be uniquely associated with distinct service nodes which provide differentiated services(*user identification is determined by the network access identifier*

Art Unit: 2614

(NAI). the PPRC may employ various techniques to determine the most suitable PDSN.. such techniques include internal table lookup based on user and/or realm, wherein the PDSNs are associated with particular realms, Abstract; [0006] [0049 - 0052] [0058 - 0059] [0063 - 0066]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Phillips et al. with the teachings of Mukherjee et al. unique IDs disclosed above in Phillips et al. can all be associated with a single service node such as a single PSDN (Phillips et al., column 9 lines 60 – 63) or uniquely associated with distinct service nodes for the purpose of providing packet- based services to a communication device.

For claims 2 and 23, Phillips et al. further discloses a user interface associated with the control system wherein the user interface and the control system are adapted to cooperate to provide a single interface for each of the plurality of communication clients (Phillips et al., column 6 lines 24 - 34).

For claims 3 and 24, Philips et al further discloses wherein a user selects certain of the plurality of packet communication clients that are active at any given time (Phillips et al., column 7 lines 16 – 27).

For claims 7 and 28, Phillips et al further discloses wherein the control system is further adapted to register each of the plurality of packet communication clients with at least one service node to enable communications (Phillips et al., column 9 lines 40 – column 10 line 25).

Art Unit: 2614

For claims 8 and 29, Mukherjee et al. further discloses wherein the control system id further adapted to register certain of the plurality of packet communication clients with different service nodes (Mukherjee et al., [0058 - 0059] [0063 - 0071]).

For claims 10 and 31, Phillips et al. further discloses wherein the at least one packet communication interface facilitates wireless communications (Phillips et al., column 3 lines 4 - 23

For claims 19 and 40, Phillips et al. further discloses wherein the unique IDs are Session Initiation Protocol IDs (Phillips et al., column 7 lines 3 - 8; column 9 lines 60 - 67).

For claims 20 and 41, Mukherjee et al. further discloses wherein different one of the packet communications are established though different access points in different locations (Mukherjee et al., column [0063 - 0066]).

For claims 21 and 42, Phillips et al. further discloses wherein each of the plurality of packet communication clients may initiate and terminate communication sessions (Phillips et al, column 6 lines 47 – 58; column 9 lines 60 – column 10 line 5; column 11 lines 34 - 37).

3. Claims 4-6, 11 – 18, 25 -27, and 32 - 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phillips et al. (US 7,454,206) in view of Mukherjee et al. (US 2004/0081118), and further in view of Yach et al. (US 2002/0128036).

For claims 4, 6, 25, and 27, Phillips et al. fails to teach wherein the control system is further adapted to combine certain communication information associated with the packet communications into a common database and make the communication information available to a user via the user interface. However, Yach et al. discloses a system and a method for the

Art Unit: 2614

purpose of integrating voice and data operations into a single mobile device wherein certain communication information associated with the packet communications for each of a plurality of packet communication clients are combined into a common database and made available to a user via the user interface (Yach et al., i.e. unified event list, Abstract; [0061] [0068 - 0072] [0074] [0118 - 0124]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Phillips et al. with the teachings of Yach et al. to combine the certain communication information associated with the packet communications for each of a plurality of packet communication clients are combined into a common database and make it available to a user via the user interface for the purpose of integrating data operations into a single mobile device.

For claims 5 and 26, Phillips et al. fails to teach wherein the control system is further adapted to combine certain communication information associated with the packet communications into a separate database and make the communication information available to a user via the user interface. However, Yach et al. discloses a system and a method for the purpose of integrating voice and data operations into a single mobile device wherein certain communication information associated with the packet communications for each of a plurality of packet communication clients are combined into a separate database and made available to a user via the user interface (Yach et al., i.e. contact database, Abstract; [0061] [0068 - 0072] [0100]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Phillips et al. with the teachings of Yach et al. to

Art Unit: 2614

combine the certain communication information associated with the packet communications for each of a plurality of packet communication clients are combined in separate databases and make it available to a user via the user interface for the purpose of integrating data operations into a single mobile device.

For claims 11 and 32, Phillips et al. fails to teach wherein the at least one packet communication interface facilitates wired communications. However, Yach et al. discloses a method for the purpose of integrating voice and data operations into a single mobile device wherein the at least one packet communication facilitates wired communications (Abstract; [0073]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Phillips et al. with the teachings of Yach et al. to include a wired connection on the wireless disclosed above in Phillips et al. for the purpose of providing wired, packet communications.

For claims 12 -13 and 33 - 34, Phillips et al. fail to explicitly disclose a cellular or non-packet communication interface associated with the control system, the control system further adapted to provide at least one cellular or non-packet communication client associated with a directory number and establish a cellular or non-packet communications via the non-packet communication interface. However, Yach et al. discloses a system and a method for the purpose of integrating voice and data operations into a single mobile device wherein a control system is further adapted to provide at least one cellular or non-packet communication client and establish

Art Unit: 2614

cellular or non-packet communications via the cellular or non-packet interface (*voice communication* module, Fig.2c, 24A; Abstract; [0008] [0010] [0036] [0061 - 0065] [0068 - 0072]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Phillips et al. with the teachings of Yach et al. so that the wireless device which communicates through a cellular network using a directory number disclosed in Phillips et al. (*MSID*, column 3 lines 4 - 23; column 9 lines 40 - 50) comprises a cellular or non-packet interface associated with the control system for the purpose of establishing cellular or non-packet communications with at least one cellular or non-packet communication clients via the at least one cellular or non-packet communications interface.

For claims 14 and 35, Yach et al. further discloses a user interface associated with the control system wherein the user interface and the control system are adapted to cooperate to provide a common interface for each of the plurality of packet communication clients and the at least one non-packet communication client (Yach et al, Abstract; [0061] [0068 - 0072]).

For claims 15,17, 36, and 38, Yach et al. further discloses wherein the control system is further adapted to combine certain communication information associated with the packet and non-packet communication for each of the plurality of packet communication clients and the at least one non-packet communication client into a common database and make the communication information available to a user via the user interface (Yach et al., i.e. unified event list, Abstract; [0061] [0068 - 0072] [0074] [0118 - 0124]).

Art Unit: 2614

For claims 16 and 37, Yach et al. further discloses wherein the control system is further adapted to combine certain communication information associated with the packet and non-packet communication for each of the plurality of packet communication clients and the at least one non-packet communication client into a separate database and make the communication information available to a user via the user interface (Yach et al., i.e. contact database, Abstract; [0061] [0068 - 0072] [0100]).

For claims 18 and 39, Yach et al. further discloses wherein the communication information includes at least one of the group consisting of call logs, messages, contact information, and directory information (Yach et al., [0011] [0046] [0056] [0057] [0068] [0071] [0117]).

4. Claims 9 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Phillips et al. (US 7,454,206) in view of Mukherjee et al. (US 2004/0081118), and further in view of Westman et al. (US 2004/0122934).

For claims 9 and 30, Phillips et al. fails to teach wherein a first of the plurality of packet communication clients is associated with a personal communication ID and second of the plurality of packet communication clients is associated with a business related communication ID. However, Westman discloses a personal device wherein device configures rifles for and register several unique IDs including a personal communication ID and a business relate communication ID for the purpose of facilitating communications with the personal communication device (Westman et al., Fig.3, [0006] [0009]).

Art Unit: 2614

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Phillips et al. with the teachings of Westman so that the packet communication clients are associated with a personal communication ID and business related communication ID for the purpose of facilitating communications the personal communication device.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1- 42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SONIA GAY whose telephone number is (571)270-1951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William J Deane/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/Sonia Gay/

Examiner, Art Unit 2614

October 30, 2009